

#### Introduction

- HUD's annual *Point in Time* counts have made significant progress in estimating the general homeless population size.
- Point in Time counts less successful in counting homeless youths.
- Through collaborative efforts homeless youths were encouraged to visit a drop-in center, at least one being located in each borough to gather survey data for this study

### Continuing Trends in Homeless Youth Population

- While methodology prevent these survey results from being directly be compared with the Empire State Coalition's 2007 Count of Homeless Youth (last count of homeless youth in New York City), overall patterns are consistent
- More stigmatized youth (e.g. LGBT/Race/Ethnicity) have greater risk of homelessness.
- Also, homelessness is associated with other problems, such as dropping out of school, incarceration and unemployment.

#### Purpose

- Accurate data is needed to understanding the nature and scope of youth homelessness.
- Data is important for developing programs tailored to the needs of homeless youths.
- For example, data provides information on reliable strategies for understanding:
  - 1) where unaccompanied homeless youth gather
  - 2) homeless youth risk-factors
  - 3) homeless youths engagement with services and institutions

### Methodology

- Marketing through flyers, social media, and informing stakeholders began December 2012.
- MetroCards, food, and activities were used as incentives to attract youths.
- The count was held on the night of January 28th between the hours of 10:00pm and 4:00am.
- A 27 question survey was administered to the 182 youth who visited one of the facilities at that time.

-This was not federally funded project.

### Common Approaches to Sample Homeless Youth

- 1) sampling youths in shelters
- 2) sampling youth at general social service centers
- 3) sampling youth in public spaces where homeless youths are known to congregate
- 4) sampling the general population to ask if they have ever experienced a spell of homelessness
- 5) sampling youths in drop in facilities or residences targeted for homeless youths

#### Survey Design

- Several meetings and phone conferences were held that included the authors of this report and representatives from the NYC CCoC.
- The instrument that was used in the 2007 survey was consulted
- A final survey instrument was constructed to determine if homeless youth requirement were met as well as to gather several demographic indicators

#### **Definition**

• Respondents were classified as a homeless youth if they were; (1) unaccompanied by their parents, (2) under the age of 25, and (3) either spent the previous night in a place not considered habitable for humans or had moved at least two times in the past 60 days

### Limitations with Generalizability

- This sample is not a probability sample
- Only the homeless youths present during given time were counted
- Sample may differ from typical service users due to marketing and incentives to take part in survey

### Sample Selection Bias

- Some ways in which youths sampled at drop in centers or residences in this survey may differ from general population of homeless youths:
  - Point in time survey may miss youths not currently having a homeless spell (seasonal or timing effects)
  - Youths at drop in facilities or residences typically have more experience with homelessness
  - Youths who use drop in facilities or residences may differ in important and unknown ways from youths who do not
- Although not a random survey, this survey provides some details on a largely hidden population for which information is hard to gather

#### Demographic Results

- 73% of the youths (132 out of 182 surveyed respondents) met the definition of homelessness
- Average age was 21, and 29% of the sample was between 16-19
- Mean duration of homelessness 927 days (close to 2.5 years); median duration 730 days (close to 2 years)
- Survey results show that homeless youths come from the more stigmatized populations in New York City:
  - •42% of the survey respondents were Black
  - •48% of the survey respondents were Latino
  - •34% (44%) were Lesbian, Gay or bisexual
  - •6% (20%) were transgendered

# Results on Education and Employment

- 47% had less than a high school diploma
- 21% were currently enrolled in school
- 22% were employed
- 63% were disconnected (not in school and not employed)
- 53% of the youths graduated from high school, which is nearly the same proportion that has experience with the criminal justice system

## Results on Conflict with Parents and Reasons for Homelessness

- Fighting frequently with your parents—34%
- Kicked out of your home—31%
- Physical, mental or sexual abuse—34%
- Neglect or parent not meeting basic needs—26%
- Not willing to live by parents rules—20%
- Parents use of drugs or alcohol—20%

# Frequented Answers Where Respondent Stayed Last Night

- With a friend—25%
- Subway—13%
- Relative's home—12%
- Outdoor/street/park—10%
- Youth shelter—10%
- Shelter (emergency, temporary)—6%

#### Frequented Other Places Stayed Last Night if Stay was Less Than 5 Days (multiple responses allowed) N = 59

- With a friend—46%
- Outdoor/street/park—22%
- Subway—20%
- Relative's home—17%
- Bus/train station—14%
- Youth shelter—10%

## Results on Mobility, Runaway, Foster Care, and Pregnancy

- 51% moved five or more times in last 2 months
- 66% had runway or lived apart from parents before age 18
- 42% had been placed in foster care or a group home
- 25% were pregnant or parenting
- 8% had a child living with them

Race/Ethnicity Characteristics					
	N = 42	Percent	N = 63	Percent	
	Black		Hispanic/Latino		
Sex assigned at birth/ Sexual Orientation/Transgender Status					
Male	23	58.97	43	71.87	
Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other	17	40.48	25	39.68	
Transgendered/Other	6	14.29	14	22.22	
Educational Attainment/"Disconnected"					
Attained HS Diploma/GED or more	24	57.14	28	44.44	
Disconnected (~in School/Employed)	21	54.76	43	68.25	
Run Away, Foster Care, Adult Shelters, Jail, and Juvenile Justice Detention Centers					
Run away/been away before 18	24	57.14	44	70.97	
Foster care or group home	13	30.95	32	51.61	
Stayed in a jail/juvenile justice facility	15	35.71	40	63.49	

Sex Assigned at Birth Characteristics					
	N = 81	Percent	N = 41	Percent	
	Male N=81		Female N=41		
Sexual Orientation/Transgender Status					
Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other	25	30.86	29	70.73	
Transgendered/Other	11	13.58	10	24.39	
Educational Attainment/"Disconnected"					
Attained HS Diploma/GED or more	42	51.85	23	56.09	
Disconnected (~in School/Employed)	52	64.20	23	60.98	
Run Away, Foster Care, Adult Shelters, Jail, and Juvenile Justice Detention Centers					
Run away/been away before 18	51	63.75	28	68.29	
Foster care or group home	34	42.50	15	36.59	
Stayed in a jail/juvenile justice facility	48	60.00	17	41.46	

Sexual Orientation Characteristics					
	N = 73	Percent	N = 59	Percent	
	Straight		Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual		
Transgender Status					
Transgendered/Other	10	13.70	16	27.12	
Educational Attainment/"Disconnected"					
Attained HS Diploma/GED or more	35	47.95	35	59.32	
Disconnected (~in School/Employed)	42	57.53	41	69.49	
Run Away, Foster Care, Adult Shelters, Jail, and Juvenile Justice Detention Centers					
Run away/been away before 18	51	70.83	35	59.32	
Foster care or group home	33	45.21	22	37.93	
Stayed in a jail/juvenile justice facility	42	58.33	28	47.46	

Transgender Status Orientation Characteristics					
	N = 106	Percent	N = 26	Percent	
	Not Transgendered		Transgen/DK/Quest		
Educational Attainment/"Disconnected"					
Attained HS Diploma/GED or more	53	50.50	17	65.38	
Disconnected (~in School/Employed)	66	62.26	17	65.38	
Run Away, Foster Care, Adult Shelters, Jail, and Juvenile Justice Detention Centers					
Run away/been away before 18	72	68.57	14	53.85	
Foster care or group home	45	42.45	10	19.18	
Stayed in a jail/juvenile justice facility	54	51.43	16	61.54	

#### **Conclusion**

- Results of this survey are generally consistent with other research on homeless youths.
- Homeless youths tend to be among the more socially stigmatized segments of society
- Homeless youths often have a host of other disadvantages that compound their vulnerability.

#### Acknowledgements

The Youth Count was made possible by the following organizations and providers that contributed funding and significant in-kind support towards the youth count:

The Palette Fund

Ali Forney Center

NYC Dept. of Youth & Community Development

NYC Continuum of Care NYC CoC

Supportive Housing Network of New York

The Doe Fund

NYC Youth Count Steering Committee:

NYC Dept. of Youth & Community Development

NYC Dept. of Homeless Services

NYC Association of Youth Providers

NYC CoC Youth Subcommittee

**Abt Associates** 

Citizen's Committee for Children

Participating Agencies & Residences:

**Covenant House** 

Good Shepherd Services – The Christopher Residence

The Door

Ali Forney Center

Lantern Organization – Jasper Hall, Schafer Hall,

Vicinitas

Neighborhood Coalition for Shelter – Louis Nine

House

**Project Hospitality** 

SCO Family of Services

Safe Horizon – Streetwork Projects – Lower East Side

& Uptown

Sunrise Center

**Ravenswood Community Center**